A Clear Title That Says What You Found

Author One, Author Two and Author Three
University One Company Two

The problem

One or two sentences on what is hard and why it matters. Most visitors read this block and the key result, then decide whether to stop.

- The setting, in plain words
- Why existing methods fall short
- What a solution would let people do

Our idea

The core idea in one sentence, then the one equation that carries it:


$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}}\big[\ell(f_\theta(x), y)\big] + \lambda\|\theta\|_2^2$$

Define every symbol a visitor needs, and no others.

Contributions

1. A method that does X
2. A guarantee that Y holds
3. Experiments on Z benchmarks

Method



Replace this diagram with your own figure:
`\includegraphics[width=\linewidth]{figure}`.

Figure: the main illustration

Key result

Our method improves accuracy by 4.2 points at the same compute budget.

Results

Plot: accuracy against compute

Method	Accuracy	Cost
Baseline A	71.3	1.0×
Baseline B	72.8	1.6×
Ours	**75.5**	1.0×

Takeaways

- What a practitioner should do differently
- The main limitation, stated honestly
- Where the code and data are

Sydney Poster Session 1 Tue 8 Dec, 10:00–13:00, Hall 1-4
Poster ID 000000
author.one@example.edu
https://neurips.cc/virtual/2026/poster/000000